

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/798,760	03/11/2004	Marcus L. Thuesen	THUE:002	6421	
39456	7590 05/04/2006		EXAMINER		
KEITH B. W 6266 DEL MO	/ILLHELM, ATTORNI ONTE	EY AT LAW	PICKETT, JOHN G		
HOUSTON,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3728		
			DATE MAILED: 05/04/2000	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			6
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Comment	10/798,760	THUESEN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Gregory Pickett	3728	
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address -	-
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO ute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communical BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31	October 2005.		
	nis action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	ance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the merits	is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1,4,5,8-16,18-20 and 24-49 is/are p	ending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) 8,10,27 and 30-42	is/are withdrawn from cons	ideration.	•
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) <u>1,4,5,9,11-16,18-20,24-26,28,29 an</u>	nd 43-49 is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 11 March 2004 is/are	: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ ob	ejected to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abeya	ince. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	·		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigna) All b) Some * c) None of:		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority docume		Ameliantian Na	
2. Certified copies of the priority docume.			
 Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Bure 	•	received in this National Stage	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		t received	
occ the attached detailed Office action for a list	or or the contined copies no	. 10001100.	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Λ □ 1-4t	Summer (DTO 412)	
2) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date	

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/31/05.

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

6) Other: ___

Application/Control Number: 10/798,760

Art Unit: 3728

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

1. This Office Action acknowledges the applicant's amendment submitted 31 October 2005. Claims 1, 4, 5, 8-16, 18-20, and 24-49 are pending in the application. Claims 2, 3, 6, 7, 17, and 21-23 have been canceled. Claims 8, 10, 27, and 30-42 are withdrawn from further consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention.

2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Double Patenting

3. The cancellation of claims 3, 17, and 22 obviates the objection under 37 CFR 1.75 for duplicate claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. Claims 1, 4, 9, 11-16, 18-20, 24, 25, 43, and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miller, III (US 2004/0010448 A1; hereinafter Miller) in view of Planchard (FR 2422562 A; provided by applicant) and admitted prior art.

Claim 1: Miller discloses a method for advertising wherein an advertisement is placed on a single-serve food product (see paragraph [0002]). Miller does not expressly disclose the structure of the package.

Planchard discloses a pouch container for liquid, power, paste, granules or any solid. Although Planchard discloses a preferred product of cleaning or toiletry products,

Art Unit: 3728

the reference does not restrict the use of the package to products. The pouch container of Planchard is of one or more flexible, imprintable sheets **1 & 2**, with one or more pouch portions defining a sealed volume **8 & 9** accommodating a product, and a message section **6 & 7** with a advertisement **10** that is separable from the pouch sections without compromising the integrity of the sealed volume. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the product of Miller in a pouch as taught by Planchard in order to provide the single-serve food product to a vendor at a reduced price (see Mille paragraph [0001]).

As admitted by the applicant on page 20 of the response of 31 October 2005, the use of third party products to cross-ruff manufacturer coupons was known in the art and it would have been obvious to provide the advertisement of Miller-Planchard as a manufacturer's coupon in order to entice the consumer to buy the third party product. Likewise, as admitted by the applicant on page 20 of the response of 31 October 2005, it would have been obvious to distribute the product to a consumer food service outlet with subsequent distribution to the consumer.

Claim 4: Miller teaches the advertisement as pertaining to a product or service other than the packaged product (paragraph [0004]).

Claim 9: Planchard discloses a pre-cut line for separation (translation page 3, lines 24-25).

Claims 11-16: The claimed sizes do not affect the method in a manipulative sense. It has been held that to be entitled to weight in method claims, the recited structure limitations must affect the method in a manipulative sense, and not amount to

Art Unit: 3728

the mere claiming of a use of a particular structure. *Ex parte Pfeiffer*, 1962 C.D. 408 (1961). Further, the provision of the pouch in the claimed sizes would amount to an obvious matter of design choice dependent upon the quantity of product to be provided. A change in size is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. *In re Rose*, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955).

Claims 18-20: Miller discloses sugar or condiment packets, which are granules, liquids, or pastes.

Claims 24 and 25: As admitted by the applicant on page 20 of the response of 31 October 2005, the use of a bar code on a coupon was known in the art and would have been obvious to include on the coupon of Miller-Planchard for rapid reading by machine. The specific code would amount to an obvious matter of design choice dependent upon industry standards.

Claim 43: Miller teaches a target consumer group.

Claim 44: As admitted by the applicant on page 20 of the response of 31 October 2005, it would have been obvious to distribute the product to consumer food service outlets that are restaurants.

5. Claims 26, 28, and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miller-Planchard-Admitted Prior Art, as applied to claims 24 and 25 above, and further in view of Matthews et al (US 4,007,577; hereinafter Matthews).

Art Unit: 3728

Claim 26: Miller-Planchard-Admitted Prior Art, as applied to claims 24 and 25 above, discloses the claimed invention except for the folding a sealing of a continuous web.

Matthews teaches the formation of condiment pouches by folding a continuous web **45A or 45B**. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the pouch of Miller-Planchard-Admitted Prior Art by folding a continuous web as taught by Matthews in order to produce the pouches at a high speed.

Claim 28: Miller-Planchard-Admitted Prior Art-Matthews, as applied to claim 26 above, discloses the claimed method.

Claim 29: Planchard anticipates a single, rectangular pouch section (Figure 8) and Matthews discloses the claimed sealing arrangement (see Figures 5 and 24).

6. Claims 5 and 45-49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miller-Planchard-Admitted Prior Art, as applied to claims 1, 4, 9, 11-16, 18-20, 24, 25, 43, and 44 above, and further in view of Chambers et al (US 2005/0086910 A1; previously provided; hereinafter Chambers).

Miller-Planchard-Admitted Prior Art, as applied to claims 1, 4, 9, 11-16, 18-20, 24, 25, 43, and 44 above, discloses the claimed invention except for the packaging in a shipping carton with subsequent reading of an indicator.

Chambers discloses placing pouches 22 in a shipping carton 24 with a machinereadable indicator 60 for transport with subsequent reading upon receipt. As the indicator **60** is uniquely associated with the retained products it is also uniquely associated with the coupon. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the pouches of Miller-Planchard-Admitted Prior Art in a shipping carton as taught by Chambers in order to transport the pouches in bulk.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 4, 5, 9, 11-16, 18-20, 24-26, 28, 29, and 43-49 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

8. This application contains claims 8, 10, 27, and 30-42 drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse in the reply of 12 July 2005. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Application/Control Number: 10/798,760

Art Unit: 3728

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Gregory Pickett whose telephone number is 571-272-

4560. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 11:30 AM - 8:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Mickey Yu can be reached on 571-272-4562. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Greg Pickett Examiner

30 April 2006

Mickey Yu Suparvisory Patent Examinar

Page 7

G1049 3763